

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

as Auditor, etc., appeals. Affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded.

Plummer & Bohannon, of Petersburg, for appellant. Carter, Crawford & Redd, of Richmond, for appellee.

HONAKER v. WHITLEY.

Jan. 16, 1919.

[97 S. E. 808.]

1. Physicians and Surgeons (§ 18 (8)*)—Action for Malpractice-Evidence.—In an action against a dentist for malpractice, where it appeared that plaintiff, who had consulted defendant and then another dentist, suffered a fractured jaw as a result of the treatment of one or the other, evidence held insufficient to establish that defendant fractured plaintiff's jaw, so as to warrant judgment against him.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 205.]

2. Negligence (§ 121 (5)*)—Proof—Cause of Injury.—Where injuries have resulted from one or two causes for one of which defendant is responsible, plaintiff must fail if his evidence does not show that the damages are produced by the former cause.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 372.]

Error to Law and Equity Court of City of Richmond.

Action by A. A. Whitley against E. S. Honaker. There was a judgment for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Reversed, and new trial granted.

Byrd, Fulton & Byrd and W. C. Pulliam, all of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Jas. R. Sheppard, Jr., and T. J. Moore, both of Richmond, for defendant in error.

E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. SNEAD'S ADM'R.

Jan. 16, 1919. [97 S. E. 812.]

1. Pleading (§ 9*)—Matters of Law.—Declaration need not state existence of legal duty, but only facts out of which duty arises; the legal duty being a matter of law of which the court takes judicial notice.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 218.]

2. Master and Servant (§ 256 (1)*)—Action for Tort of Servant—Pleading.—In action for damages for death of plaintiff's intestate,

^{*}For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.